1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		ANKRUPTCY COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11 12	In re BENJA INCORPORATED, aka EPHE CORPORATION,	Case No. 20-30819 DM Chapter 7
13	Debtor.	
14	Debior.	
15	KYLE EVERETT,	Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03060 DM
16	TRUSTEE IN BANKRUPTCY,	PLAINTIFF'S STATUS CONFERENCE
17	Plaintiff,	STATEMENT
18	v.	Hearing: Date: February 25, 2022
19	THOMAS B. PETERS,	Time: 1:30 A.M. Place: Tele/video conference
20	Defendant.	Remote appearances only
21		ixemore appearances only
22		
23	Plaintiff, Kyle Everett, ("Plaintiff" or the "Trustee"), submits the following statement	
24	regarding the upcoming status conference.	
25	BACKGROUND:	
26	Defendant, Thomas Peters ("Defendant"), purchased a small investment in the Debtor at or	
27	around the same time as Debtor retained Peters' company (Kema Investments) to act as an	
28	investment broker. Many months later, Peters agreed to make an additional \$500,000 investment	
Case	PLAINTIFF'S STATUS CONF. STMT. :: 21-03060	

in the Debtor. Peters invested \$250,000 of the commitment but then became concerned over the 1 2 viability and authenticity of Debtor's business. Peters then demanded repayment of the \$250,000. 3 The Debtor agreed and a share repurchase agreement was drafted by Debtor and edited by Peters, 4 but ultimately not signed by the parties. Debtor paid Peters the \$250,000 a few weeks before 5 filing bankruptcy. After making a demand on Peters for repayment of the transfer, which demand was rejected, the Trustee filed this lawsuit. 6 7 STATUS OF THE LITIGATION AND DISCOVERY: 8 After the Court denied Peters' motion to dismiss, he timely filed his answer on February 9 15, 2022 (ECF 9). While the complaint focuses on avoiding the alleged fraudulent transfer to 10 Peters, the Trustee intends to amend the complaint (via stipulation or motion for leave) to add a preference claim under Section 547. As such, it makes sense to continue the status conference for 11 12 60-90 days. 13 In terms of the discovery issues, in his Status Conference Statement, Peters alludes to the Ponzi scheme issues in another case, Everett v. MHC Financial, Case No. 21-3036 (the "MHC 15 Litigation"). The MHC Litigation involves transfers of close to \$5 million and involves both 16 fraudulent transfer and preference theories of relief. 17 Regarding Peters' idea about the Trustee producing some limited number of pdf 18 documents, apparently streamlining, or simplifying Peters' discovery, the Trustee is uncertain of 19 how to respond. Peters will propound the discovery he feels is appropriate to the case and the 20 Trustee will produce the documents responsive to those requests as required by the discovery 21 rules. The Trustee does not get to select which documents to produce as the most important and as such limit the discovery Peters needs to review. 23 Dated: February 22, 2022 FINESTONE HAYES LLP 24 25 By: /s/ Stephen D. Finestone Stephen D. Finestone 26 Attorneys for Kyle Everett, Trustee in Bankruptcy

PLAINTIFF'S STATUS CONF. STMT.

27

28

Case: 21-03060 Doc# 11 Filed: 02/22/22 Entered: 02/22/22 12:08:47 Page 2 of 2